

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,745	014,745 10/23/2001		Daniel E. Boss	403391	9390
	590	09/12/2003			
Harold V. Sto	tland		EXAMINER		
Seyfarth Shaw Suite 4200	_			CHANG, YEAN HSI	
55 East Monroe		.2		ART UNIT	D. DED MIN COMP
Chicago, IL 60603-5803			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
				2835	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summan	10/014,745	BOSS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAU INC DATE of the	Yean-Hsi Chang	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any status - Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.	·				
3) Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-23 is/are pending in the application	•	•				
20	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
l l	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2-23 is/are rejected.						
1	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) 🗆 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
· ·:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informat P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trac PTOL-326 (Re		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: A claim cannot depend from itself. It is assumed that claim 2 depends from claim 7. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-13 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. (US 6,310,769 B1) in view of Pottebaum (US 5,666,239) and DeLuca et al. (US 5,333,098).

Johnson teaches in combination:

A bracket (14, fig. 2) including a laminated body (14, fig. 2) of sheet material (14, fig. 3) having two outer layers (17 and 19, fig. 3) formed of metal and an inner layer (20, fig. 3) formed of a viscoelastic material and joining the outer layers (see col. 3, lines 47-54) (claims 1 and 7)

Art Unit: 2835

Page 3

- The body being formed into a generally channel-shaped configuration (fig. 2) having a base wall (14b, fig. 2) and two side walls (14a, fig. 2) extending from opposed sides of the base wall and two flanges (22, fig. 2) respectively extending from the side walls (claim 7)
- At least one of the base wall and the side walls having openings therethrough
 (24, fig. 2) (claim 7)
- A disk drive assembly (12, fig. 1) (claim 7)
- A plurality of first fasteners (21, fig. 3) respectively received through the openings and engageable with the disk drive assembly (see col. 3, lines 24-27) (claim 7)
- Wherein each of the walls is a flat, substantially rectangular wall (see fig. 2)
 (claim 2)
- Wherein the openings are firmed in each of the base wall and the side walls (see fig. 2) (claims 3 and 9)
- Wherein each of the flanges has a plurality of openings therethrough (see fig.2) (claim 4)
- End walls (16, fig. 2) integral with the base wall and extending therefrom in a direction opposite to the side walls (claims 5 and 6)
- Wherein the disk drive assembly is spaced from the base wall (fig. 1) (claim

Johnson fails to teach the disk drive assembly having an integral printed circuit board on one side thereof, being in contact with the base wall.

Art Unit: 2835

Pottebaum teaches a disk drive assembly (10, fig. 3) having a printed circuit board (92, fig. 3) in the bottom side.

DeLuca teaches a disk drive assembly (200, fig. 1) having its bottom side disposed in contact with one surface of the base wall of a bracket (182, fig. 1) having side walls extending on the same one surface, and a disk drive assembly (186, fig. 1) having its bottom side disposed in contact with one surface of the base wall of a bracket (184, fig. 1) having side walls extending on the other surface.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Johnson with the disk drive assembly taught by Pottebaum, and with either one of the mounting methods taught by DeLuca such that the disk drive assembly may be mounted on the bracket with more flexibility to fit into an available space.

The method of damping in a disk drive assembly claimed in claims 16-21 is obviously disclosed in the related specifications of the cited references.

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. in view of Pottebaum and DeLuca et al., further in view of Jo (US 6,005,768).

Johnson in view of Pottebaum and DeLuca discloses the claimed invention except each of the flanges having at least one opening therethrough and a second fastener in the at least one opening for fastening the bracket to an associated substrate.

Art Unit: 2835

Jo teaches a bracket (50, fig. 3) having flanges (54, fig. 3) each having at least one opening (56, fig. 3) therethrough and a second fastener (not shown) for fastening the bracket to an associated substrate (not shown; see col. 4, lines 51-54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Johnson et al modified by Pottebaum and DeLuca with the bracket taught by Jo such that the bracket may be used to support a disk drive assembly to an associated substrate in a drive bay or a computer chassis.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. in view of Hoppal et al. (US 5,195,022).

Johnson discloses the claimed invention except elastomeric grommets encircling each fastener respectively on opposite sides of the wall through which the fastener extends.

Hoppal teaches an elastomeric grommet (506, fig. 5) for encircling each fastener (502, fig. 5) on opposite sides of a wall (418, fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Johnson et al. with the grommet taught by Hoppal et al. for high damping characteristics.

6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. in view of Pottebaum and DeLuca et al., further in view of Hoppal et al.

Art Unit: 2835

Johnson et al. in view of Pottebaum and DeLuca et al. discloses the claimed invention except the method of cushioning each fastener with elastomeric grommets respectively disposed on opposite sides of the wall through which the fastener passes.

Hoppal teaches a method of cushioning a fastener (502, fig. 5) with an elastomeric grommet (506, fig. 5) respectively disposed on opposite sides of a wall (418, fig. 5) through which the fastener passes.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Johnson et al. modified by Pottebaum and DeLuca et al. with the method of cushioning taught by Hoppal for the purpose of obtaining high damping characteristics.

7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. in view of Jo, further in view of Hoppal et al.

Johnson et al. in view of Jo discloses the claimed invention except elastomeric grommets encircling each second fastener on a flange.

Hoppal teaches an elastomeric grommet (506, fig. 5) for encircling each fastener (502, fig. 5) on a flange (510, fig. 5)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Johnson et al. modified by Pottebaum and DeLuca et al. with the method of cushioning taught by Hoppal for the purpose of obtaining high damping characteristics.

Art Unit: 2835

R sponse to Arguments

Page 7

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive. Applicant argues "a disk drive assembly with an integrated PCB is not

disclosed" by Pottebaum. Referring to col. 6, lines 64-67 of Pottebaum, disk drive 10

includes integrated components: base deck 12, chassis 90, and PCB 92.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Art Unit: 2835

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (703) 306-5798. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (703) 308-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and for After Final communications. There are RightFAX numbers and provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt by the USPTO: Before-Final (703-872-9318) and After-Final (703-872-9319).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2835 September 9, 2003

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 8